

## Graphical View of Blog Content Using B2G

Sabine Bergler and Jahnvi Dhananjaya

ClaC Labs, Concordia University, Montreal

bergler@cse.concordia.ca, j\_dhanan@encs.concordia.ca

### Abstract

We present the simple idea that a graphical representation of subject-verb-object triples is useful for exploring blog texts, a preliminary implementation and a first level analysis of the positive and negative aspects of a naive implementation. We outline its potential for further development.

### Introduction

The progress in natural language processing tools available has made text mining an active field of research even outside the natural language processing (NLP) community. With seamless integration in environments, application oriented tools developed by experts that use the NLP tools as black box components is on the rise. One such field that looks at textual data for new insights is epidemiology.

Social media in general, and blogs in particular, hold great promise for epidemiologists and public health oriented researchers. The expectation of plentiful data, uncensored and (hopefully) representative, is met: there are more blogs than can be surveyed manually. Automatic text mining systems are, by definition, limiting the insight into the context of the blogosphere and are thus not helpful in the initial phases of refining a research question or for the task of expanding and adapting protocols of information extraction.

Early stage epidemiological research requires to survey blogs as a new data source and to identify the types of information that could be expected to occur quickly and without great set-up costs.

We propose B2G, a lightweight blog text extraction and processing tool composed largely of stock open source software that is easily reconfigurable by the researcher. In particular, the researcher can input lists of words of interest and B2G will provide a graphic visualization of the associated subject-verb-object (SVO) triples in RDF format. While SVO triples are understood to be an insufficient representation of the content, the overload issue for a graphical user interface requires a severely abstracted, high-level view of the dataset with possibilities to inspect certain parts of the resulting graph in more detail.

The main idea behind our baseline approach here is to give researchers a very general tool, not adapted or fine-tuned to any task and thus easy to deploy. We will outline some of the many shortcomings that can be addressed, speculating on the likely usefulness of the tool before and after different adaptation steps. In fact, the lightweight graphical and highly underspecified nature of the representation draws attention to some unexpected data the same way an unfortunate Google search query may not yield the desired results, but leads nevertheless to an interesting (and often quite lengthy) investigation of an unforeseen but serendipitous nature.

### Background

Sophisticated text mining and text analysis systems exist, HealthMap, for instance, was used for analyzing the 2009 H1N1 virus outbreak (Brownstein et al. 2010). HealthMap is an aggregator that excels in collecting data from around the globe, from Google, Twitter, and many other resources, and displays maps that show geographic locations of reported cases, etc.

A nice overview over currently available tools for text mining on Medline is presented in (Jensen, Saric, and Bork 2006), which also critically compares different systems and the limited possibilities this comparison is based on.

At the other end of the spectrum, Stanford's Relation Extractor (Surdeanu et al. 2011) is a statistically trained (and thus retrainable) relationship extraction module, that can be adapted if an appropriate training corpus is available, that has exactly the relations of interest labelled as desired. In first-stage exploratory research, this is not usually so. But the explorer in the first stage of his project is more accepting of crude tools and is willing to carefully review the returns if, akin to panning for gold, valuable nuggets can be found this way. In fact, just by giving an unusual, non-standard view can shift attention to otherwise overlooked facts, an insight that has been operationalized in Bananaslug, The Long Tail Search Engine<sup>1</sup> that gives Google-like search with the added twist of allowing the searcher to select from a category of predefined random words (we chose Phonetic Alphabet, it selected 'x-ray') which are added to the search terms ('text mining' in our case). Thus instead of the same ranking from

<sup>1</sup><http://bananaslug.com>





## Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by funding from NSERC, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the help from Marc-Andre Faucher, Jonathan Villemare-Krajden, and Canberk Ozdemir.

## References

- Bastian, M.; Heymann, S.; and Jacomy, M. 2009. Gephi: An open source software for exploring and manipulating networks. In *3rd International ICWSM Conference*.
- Bergler, S.; Schuman, J.; Dubuc, J.; and Lebedev, A. 2007. BioKI, a general literature navigation system at TREC Genomics 2006. In *Proceedings of The Fifteenth Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2006)*.
- Bontcheva, K.; Cunningham, H.; Maynard, D.; Tablan, V.; and Saggion, H. 2002. Developing reusable and robust language processing components for information systems using GATE. In *13th International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications*.
- Brownstein, J. C. F.; Chan, E.; Keller, M.; Sonricker, A.; Mekar, S.; and Buckeridge, D. 2010. Information technology and global surveillance of cases of 2009 H1N1 influenza. *New England Journal of Medicine* 362.
- Cunningham, H. 2002. GATE, a general architecture for text engineering. *Computers and the Humanities* 36:223–254. <http://gate.ac.uk>.
- de Marneffe, M.; MacCartney, B.; and Manning, C. 2006. Generating typed dependency parses from phrase structure parses. In *Proceedings of LREC-06*.
- Demšar, J.; Curk, T.; Erjavec, A.; Č. Gorup; Hočvar, T.; Milutinovič, M.; Možina, M.; Polajnar, M.; Toplak, M.; Starič, A.; Štajdohar, M.; Umek, L.; Žagar, L.; Žbontar, J.; Žitnik, M.; and Zupan, B. 2013. Orange: Data mining toolbox in Python. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 14(1).
- Jensen, L.; Saric, J.; and Bork, P. 2006. Literature mining for the biologist: from information retrieval to biological discovery. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 7(2).
- Kilicoglu, H., and Bergler, S. 2010. A high-precision approach to detecting hedges and their scopes. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL-2010)*.
- Klein, D., and Manning, C. 2003. Accurate unlexicalized parsing. In *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Meeting of the Association of Computational Linguistics*.
- Kohlschütter, C.; Fankhauser, P.; and Nejd, W. 2010. Boilerplate detection using shallow text features. In *3rd ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining WSDM*.
- Krestel, R.; Bergler, S.; and Witte, R. 2012. Modeling human newspaper readers: The fuzzy believer approach. *Natural Language Engineering* 20(2).
- Rosenberg, S., and Bergler, S. 2012. Uconcordia: CLaC negation focus detection at \*Sem 2012. In *Proceedings of \*SEM 2012: The First Joint Conference on Lexical and Computational Semantics*.
- Rosenberg, S.; Kilicoglu, H.; and Bergler, S. 2012. CLaC Labs: Processing modality and negation. In *Working Notes for QA4MRE Pilot Task at CLEF 2012*.
- Surdeanu, M.; McClosky, D.; Smith, M.; Gusev, A.; and Manning, C. 2011. Customizing an information extraction system to a new domain. In *Proceedings of the ACL 2011 Workshop on Relational Models of Semantics*.