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Abstract

The Web is currently shifting from data on linked Web pages towards less interlinked data in social networks on the Web. Therefore, rather than being based on the link structure between Web pages, the ranking of search results needs to be based on something new. We believe that it can be based on user preferences and ontological background knowledge, as a means to personalized access to information. There are many approaches to preference representation and reasoning in the literature. The most prominent qualitative ones are perhaps CP-nets. Their clear graphical structure unifies an easy representation of preferences with nice properties when computing the best outcome. In this paper, we introduce ontological CP-nets, where the knowledge domain has an ontological structure, i.e., the values of the variables are constrained relative to an underlying ontology. We show how the computation of Pareto optimal outcomes for such ontological CP-nets can be reduced to the solution of constraint satisfaction problems. We also provide several complexity and tractability results.

Introduction

During the recent years, several revolutionary changes are taking place on the classical Web. First, the so-called Web of Data is more and more being realized as a special case of the Semantic Web. Second, as part of the social Web, users are acting more and more as first-class citizens in the creation and delivery of contents on the Web. The combination of these two technological waves is called the Social Semantic Web (or also Web 3.0), where the classical Web of interlinked documents is more and more turning into (i) semantic data and tags constrained by ontologies, and (ii) social data, such as connections, interactions, reviews, and tags.

The Web is thus shifting away from data on linked Web pages towards less interlinked data in social networks on the Web relative to underlying ontologies. This requires new technologies for search and query answering, where the ranking of search results is not based on the link structure between Web pages anymore, but on the information available in the Social Semantic Web, in particular, the underlying ontological knowledge and the preferences of the users.

As for preferences, there are approaches to (a) quantitative preferences, which are associated with a number representing their worth (e.g., “my preferences for WiFi and cable connections are 0.8 and 0.4, respectively”), and (b) qualitative preferences, which are related to each other via pairwise comparisons (e.g., “I prefer WiFi over cable connection”). The qualitative approach is commonly regarded as being the more natural way of representing preferences, since humans are not very comfortable in expressing their “wishes” in terms of a numerical value. To have a quantitative representation of her preferences, the user needs to explicitly determine a value for a large number of alternatives usually described by more than one attribute. It is generally much easier to provide information about preferences as pairwise qualitative comparisons (Domshlak et al. 2011).

One of the most powerful qualitative frameworks for preference representation and reasoning are perhaps CP-nets (Boutilier et al. 2004). They are a graphical language that unifies an easy representation of user preferences with nice properties when computing the best outcome. In this paper, towards defining a ranking of search results for the Social Semantic Web, which is based on user preferences and ontological knowledge, we combine CP-nets with ontologies.

Ontological CP-Nets

We now introduce ontological CP-nets, which combine CP-nets (Boutilier et al. 2004) with ontologies represented in description logics (DLs) (Baader et al. 2003). Intuitively, the values of the variables in such CP-nets are satisfiable DL concepts relative to an underlying DL ontology.

More formally, an ontological CP-net \((N, T)\) consists of a CP-net \(N\) and a DL ontology \(T\) such that: (i) for every variable \(A\) in \(N\), the domain of \(A\), denoted \(\text{dom}(A)\), is a set \(\{\alpha, \neg\alpha\}\), where both \(\alpha\) and \(\neg\alpha\) are satisfiable DL concepts relative to \(T\), and (ii) all the conditional preferences in \(N\) are pairwise not equivalent relative to \(T\). Given an ontological CP-net \((N, T)\), an outcome \(I\) is feasible iff \(I \models T\). A feasible outcome \(I\) is undominated iff there exists no feasible outcome \(I'\) such that \(I' \succ I\).

Observe that even if there are no explicit hard constraints among the variables of the CP-net, due to the background ontology, there is a set of implicit constraints among the values \(\alpha_i\) and \(\neg\alpha_i\) of the variables \(V\) in the CP-net.
The set of all undominated feasible outcomes for an ontological CP-net \((N, \mathcal{T})\) can be computed on top of the HARD-PARETO algorithm in (Prestwich et al. 2005).

This computation is based on the following Boolean encoding of both the ontology \(\mathcal{T}\) and of the clauses corresponding to the conditional preferences encoded in \(N\) (along with the transformation back into DL representation of the results computed by the HARD-PARETO algorithm):

1. for each \(A_j\) in \(\tilde{N}\) with \(\text{Dom}(A_j) = \{\alpha_j, \neg \alpha_j\}\), select a fresh concept name \(V_j\);
2. define the ontology \(\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T} \cup \{V_j \equiv \alpha_j \mid j = 1, \ldots, |\mathcal{V}|\}\);
3. define the ontological CP-net \((N', \mathcal{T}')\), where \(N'\) is the same CP-net as \(N\), but for the domain of its variables. In particular, in \(N'\), we have \(\text{Dom}(A_j) = \{V_j, \neg V_j\}\);
4. define \(\mathcal{F} = \{V_j \mid j = 1, \ldots, |\mathcal{V}|\}\), where the \(V_j's\) are the concept names introduced in step 1;
5. compute the ontological closure of \(\mathcal{F}\) relative to \(\mathcal{T}'\);
6. introduce a Boolean variable \(v_j\) for each \(V_j\) in \(\mathcal{F}\);
7. transform the ontological closure of \(\mathcal{F}\) relative to \(\mathcal{T}'\) into the corresponding set of Boolean clauses \(\mathcal{C}\) by replacing \(V_j\) with the corresponding Boolean variable \(v_j\);
8. generate the Boolean encoding of \(N'\) as clauses by replacing \(V_j \in \text{Dom}(A_j)\) by the variable \(v_j\).
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